IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GRADY ARNOLD,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
ERIKA D. BALLOU, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 87856

JAN 2 2 2024

CLERK PROPERTY OF THE LAND OF

ORDER REGARDING PETITION

On January 8, 2024, Grady Arnold filed in this court a pro se document labeled "notice of appeal," stating that Arnold appeals from a district court order denying or dismissing a petition for a writ of mandamus/prohibition. Attached to the document are December 6, 2023, minutes in Case No. A-23-879212-W, in which the district court advises that Arnold's writ petition would be denied. It appears that the district court's written order denying the petition has since been entered.

As notices of appeal must be filed in the district court in the first instance, NRAP 4(a)(1), the document was construed and filed as an original petition for a writ of mandamus/prohibition. Upon further review, however, it appears that Arnold is not seeking writ relief directly from this court, but rather this court's appellate review of the district court's order denying such relief. NRAP 4(e) provides that a notice of appeal that is mistakenly filed in this court in the first instance is to be considered filed in the district court on the date it was received by this court. Accordingly,

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

24-02491

(O) 1947A (C) 19575

we direct the clerk of this court to send to the district court clerk the pro se document filed in this court on January 8, 2024, and received on January 5, 2024. Thereafter, this docket will be administratively closed.¹

It is so ORDERED.

Cadish C.J

cc: Hon. Erika D. Ballou, District Judge Grady Arnold Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

¹To the extent that Arnold seeks writ relief from this court, such request is denied without prejudice.