


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JEREMIAH WILLIAM BALIK,
Petitioner,
vs.
BIGELOW HOLDINGS, LLC, D/B/A
BUDGET SUITES LAS VEGAS,
Respondents.

No. 87829

FILED

JAN 08 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS


Petitioner has filed an emergency petition for a writ of mandamus.

Having reviewed the petition and supporting documents, we conclude that petitioner has not demonstrated that our ordinary intervention is warranted. *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (providing that petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted); *Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991) (explaining that it is within this court's sole discretion to determine if a writ petition will be considered); NRAP 21(b)(1). In particular, aside from requests for damages, which generally are not available in writ proceedings before this court, NRS 34.160, and for this court to allow nonlicensed attorney fees, petitioner does not specify the relief he is seeking from this court or why any such relief should be granted. With respect to the nonlicensed attorney fees issue, petitioner has not demonstrated that allowing such fees is required by law. *Walker v. Second Judicial Dist. Court*, 136 Nev. 678, 679, 476 P.3d 1194, 1196 (2020) (recognizing that,

traditionally, mandamus petitioners must demonstrate a clear legal right to the relief requested). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.


_____, C.J.
Cadish


_____, J.
Stiglich


_____, J.
Herndon

cc: Jeremiah William Balik
Bigelow Holdings, LLC