

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

REYNALDO GARDNER,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 86735

REYNALDO GARDNER,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

✓ No. 86859

**FILED**

AUG 11 2023

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSING CASE  
AND TRANSFERRING DOCUMENTS TO DOCKET NO. 86735*

This is a pro se appeal challenging whether appellant was denied effective assistance of counsel during trial and whether the trial court abused its discretion in denying a motion to dismiss for due process violations. Although the notice of appeal does not identify any particular order appellant is appealing, it appears that he is seeking to challenge intermediate decisions rendered before the judgment of conviction was entered.<sup>1</sup>

While intermediate decisions may be challenged in the context of an appeal from a judgment of conviction, NRS 177.045, appellant may not proceed on direct appeal without counsel. NRAP 46A(b)(1); *Blandino v. State*, 112 Nev. 352, 356, 914 P.2d 624, 627 (1996) (explaining the due

---

<sup>1</sup>To the extent appellant is seeking to appeal from some other order, this court lacks jurisdiction.

