IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOHN LUCKETT, Petitioner, vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents. NO. 86821 FILED JUL 17 2023 ELIZATETHA BROWN CLERK OF SUFREME COURT V DEMUTY CLERK

ORDER RETURNING PETITION FOR EMERGENCY WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSING CASE

This pro se petition for an emergency writ of mandamus seeks an order restraining the district court from issuing any vexatious-litigant restrictive orders against petitioner and to stay the underlying district court proceedings pending our review of the petition.

On December 9, 2010, this court entered an order declaring petitioner a vexatious litigant and restricting his filing privileges. See Luckett v. Eighth Judicial District Court, Docket No. 55189 (Order Declaring Petitioner a Vexatious Litigant and Restricting Filing Privileges, December 9, 2010). That order provided that "petitioner may not file any original pro se writ petitions with this court, without payment of the filing fee, absent leave of the Chief Justice." Before a petition is filed, the order explained, "petitioner must submit a copy of the proposed petition, an application for in forma pauperis status that accurately reflects petitioner's current financial status and explains why the fee should be waived, and a

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA motion for leave to file the documents, explaining briefly and clearly why an appeal is not an adequate remedy." Id.

Petitioner submitted the instant writ petition without paying the filing fees or fully complying with the requirements set forth in this court's December 9 order.¹ Accordingly, the instant petition should not have been filed, and we direct the clerk of this court to return petitioner's petition and to administratively close this case.

It is so ORDERED.

stigend C.J.

Stiglich

J.

Cadish

J.

Herndon

Hon. Jacqueline M. Bluth, District Judge cc: John Luckett Clark County District Attorney/Civil Division Eighth District Court Clerk

¹We note that petitioner also failed to comply with NRAP 21 and NRAP 27(e) in several respects.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA