IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JESSICA POYNTER, N/K/A JESSICA
POYNTER-DRUMMOND,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
MARY D. PERRY, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
KYLE POYNTER,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 86740



ORDER DENYING PETITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order denying a petition for order terminating parental rights of natural father—real party in interest Kyle Poynter.

Mandamus is an extraordinary remedy and whether to consider a petition seeking such relief is within this court's sole discretion. Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991). Extraordinary relief, however, will not issue if the petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law. NRS 34.170.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

23-19996

Here, petitioner has an adequate remedy at law by way of direct appeal from the district court order denying the petition for order terminating parental rights of natural father. NRAP 3A(b)(1); See generally In re Termination of Parental Rights as to N.J., 116 Nev. 790, 8 P.3d 126 (2000). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Stiglich C.J

 \mathcal{M}_{i}

Cadish

Herndon

cc: Hon. Mary D. Perry, District Judge, Family Court Division

Pecos Law Group

Kyle Poynter

Eighth District Court Clerk

¹We make no determination as to the merits of such an appeal.