


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SCOTT GOODKIN,
Appellant,
vs.
MARY BANGHART, F/K/A MARY
GOODKIN,
Respondent.



ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL


This is a pro se appeal from a district court order reducing child support and medical insurance arrears to judgment. Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Court Division, Clark County; Mary D. Perry, Judge.¹

Nevada appellate courts have jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule. *Brown v. MHC Stagecoach, LLC*, 129 Nev. 343, 345, 301 P.3d 850, 851 (2013). Here, appellant Scott Goodkin filed a motion for an audit/accounting of the sums he has paid on the child support arrears. The district court denied the motion and reduced Goodkin's remaining arrearages to judgment. The challenged order enforces prior child support and medical insurance obligations. The order does not change any obligations of the parties. Because the child support award amount remained the same, the order is not appealable as a special order after final judgment under NRAP 3A(b)(8). *Gumm v. Mainor*, 118 Nev. 912, 920, 59 P.3d 1220, 1225 (2002). As no other


¹We conclude that a response to the informal brief is not necessary, NRAP 46A(c), and that oral argument is not warranted, NRAP 34(f)(3). This appeal therefore has been decided based on the pro se brief and record.

statute or court rule appears to authorize this appeal, this court lacks jurisdiction and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.


_____, C.J.
Stiglich


_____, J.
Lee


_____, J.
Bell

cc: Hon. Mary D. Perry, District Judge, Family Court Division
Scott Goodkin
Mills & Anderson Law Group
Eighth District Court Clerk