IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRIAN D. SHAPIRO, CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE, Appellant, vs. NEVADA PROPERTY 1, LLC, Respondent. No. 86393

MAY 1 2023

ARETHA, BROWN

DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE AND DISMISSING APPEAL

On May 1, 2023, attorney Robert E. Atkinson of the law firm Atkinson Law Associates Ltd. filed a notice of appearance as counsel for Brian D. Shapiro and a motion to substitute Shapiro as appellant. Shapiro has subsequently filed a supplement to the motion. In the motion and supplement, Shapiro informs this court that appellant has filed a petition for bankruptcy relief under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada, and that Shapiro is the Chapter 7 trustee. Shapiro further informs this court that the district court has substituted him as the party in the state court proceedings and that the bankruptcy court has entered an order expressly barring appellant, Afrand, from pursuing any appeals or actions in this court arising from the state court litigation.

Cause appearing, the motion to substitute Shapiro is granted. See NRAP 43(a)(1), (b); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6009 ("With or without court approval, the trustee . . . may prosecute or may enter an appearance and defend any pending action or proceeding by or against the debtor, or commence and prosecute any action or proceeding in behalf of the estate before any tribunal."); In re DiSalvo, 219 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000) ("In [Chapter 7] liquidation proceedings, only the trustee has standing to

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(t) 1947A

prosecute or defend a claim belonging to the estate.") (alteration in original) (citations omitted). The clerk of this court shall remove Richard Afrand as appellant and shall substitute Brian D. Shapiro as appellant and shall amend the caption to conform with the caption on this order. The clerk shall add attorney Atkinson and the law firm of Atkinson Law Associates Ltd. to the docket as counsel for Shapiro.

The filing of a Chapter 7 petition operates to stay, automatically, the "continuation" of any "judicial . . . action . . . against the [bankruptcy] debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)(1) (2010). An appeal, for purposes of the automatic bankruptcy stay, is considered a continuation of the action in the trial court. See, e.g., Ingersoll-Rand Fin. Corp. v. Miller Mining Co., 817 F.2d 1424 (9th Cir. 1987). Consequently, an appeal is automatically stayed if the debtor was a defendant in the underlying trial court action. Id. It appears that Afrand was the defendant below. Therefore, this appeal is stayed pursuant to the automatic stay provisions of federal bankruptcy law.

Given the applicability of the automatic stay, this appeal may linger indefinitely on this court's docket pending final resolution of the bankruptcy proceedings. Accordingly, this court concludes that judicial efficiency will be best served if the appeal is dismissed without prejudice. Because a dismissal without prejudice will not require this court to reach the merits of the appeal and is not inconsistent with the primary purpose of the bankruptcy stay—to provide protection for debtors and creditors—this that dismissal will violate further concludes such court the bankruptcy stay. See Indep. Union of Flight Attendants v. Pan Am.

(O) 1947A CE

¹The automatic stay provides a debtor "with protection against hungry creditors and gives it a "breathing spell from its creditors by stopping all collection efforts. *Dean v. Trans World Airlines, Inc.*, 72 F.3d

World Airways, Inc., 966 F.2d 457, 459 (9th Cir. 1992) (holding that the automatic stay does not preclude dismissal of an appeal so long as dismissal is "consistent with the purpose of the statute [11 U.S.C. § 362(a)]"); Dean v. Trans World Airlines, Inc., 72 F.3d 754, 755 (9th Cir. 1995) (holding that a post-bankruptcy petition dismissal will violate the automatic stay "where the decision to dismiss first requires the court to consider other issues presented by or related to the underlying case"). Accordingly, this appeal shall be dismissed. The dismissal is without prejudice to any party's right to move for reinstatement of the appeal within 90 days of either the lifting of the bankruptcy stay or final resolution of the bankruptcy proceedings, if deemed appropriate at that time.

It is so ORDERED.

Herndon

Lee

Parraguirre, J.

J.

cc: Hon. Nancy L. Allf, District Judge Richard Afrand Brian Shapiro Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Las Vegas Atkinson Law Associates Ltd. Eighth District Court Clerk

^{754, 755 (9}th Cir. 1995). Further, it assures creditors "that the debtor's other creditors are not racing to various courthouses to pursue independent remedies to drain the debtor's assets." *Id.* at 755-56.