IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRYAN WARREN DRYDEN, Petitioner, vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, Respondent. No. 86195 MAR 2 3 2023 CLEARED A 30 AVA CLEARED A 40 AVA DEPUTY CLEARED

3-09001

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This original pro se petition seeks a writ of mandamus directing the district court to reverse and vacate its order denying petitioner's motion requesting a genetic marker analysis.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). Whether a petition for extraordinary writ relief will be entertained rests within this court's sound discretion. D.H. Horton, Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 123 Nev. 468, 474-75, 168 P.3d 731, 736-37 (2007). Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Further, it is petitioner's responsibility to provide this court with all documents essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition. NRAP 21(a)(4).

Problematically, petitioner has not provided this court with exhibits or other documentation that would support his claims for relief.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA See NRAP 21(a)(4) (providing the petitioner shall submit an appendix containing all documents "essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition"). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Signe , C.J. Stiglich

J. Cadish

, J.

Herndon

cc: Bryan Warren Dryden Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

Eighth District Court Clerk

101 1947A CE