


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL TRACY MCLAUGHLIN,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK,  
Respondent,  
and  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 84786

FILED

JUN 24 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY:   
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus, or prohibition, seeks a writ directing the district court to vacate petitioner's sentence and hold a new sentencing hearing, due to alleged errors in petitioner's judgment of conviction.

The decision to entertain a petition for extraordinary writ relief lies within the discretion of this court. *Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991) (recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary remedy and that this court has sole discretion in determining whether to entertain a writ petition). It is petitioner's burden to demonstrate that extraordinary relief is warranted. *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

Problematically, petitioner has not provided this court with exhibits or other documentation that would support his claims for relief. See NRAP 21(a)(4) (providing the petitioner shall submit an appendix

