

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GLORIA ZAPATA, AS SPECIAL ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF JONATHAN BLACKSTONE, DECEASED; AND MITCHELL BLACKSTONE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS HEIR TO THE ESTATE OF JONATHAN BLACKSTONE, Petitioners,  
vs.  
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE MONICA TRUJILLO, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents,  
and  
SHOWCASE 1415 LLC, A BUSINESS ENTITY INCORPORATED TO DO BUSINESS IN THE STATE OF NEVADA; SHOWCASE COSMO LLC, A BUSINESS ENTITY INCORPORATED TO DO BUSINESS IN THE STATE OF NEVADA; EC 2537 REALTY LLC, A BUSINESS ENTITY INCORPORATED TO DO BUSINESS IN THE STATE OF NEVADA; SHOWCASE INVESTORS 3 LLC, A BUSINESS ENTITY INCORPORATED TO DO BUSINESS IN THE STATE OF NEVADA; LAS VEGAS 3, LLC, A BUSINESS ENTITY INCORPORATED TO DO BUSINESS IN THE STATE OF NEVADA; SHOWCASE MALL VEGAS MANAGEMENT LLC, A BUSINESS ENTITY INCORPORATED TO DO BUSINESS IN THE STATE OF NEVADA; BG RETAIL, LLC, F/K/A

No. 83788

**FILED**

DEC 17 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK


BROWN GROUP RETAIL, INC., D/B/A  
FAMOUS FOOTWEAR, A FOREIGN  
BUSINESS ENTITY CONDUCTING  
BUSINESS IN NEVADA; AND U.S.  
SECURITY ASSOCIATES, INC., A  
FOREIGN BUSINESS ENTITY  
CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN NEVADA,  
Real Parties in Interest.


*ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS*

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order granting a motion to dismiss. Having considered the petition and its supporting documentation, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted. *See Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (observing that the party seeking writ relief bears the burden of showing such relief is warranted); *Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991) (recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary remedy and that this court has sole discretion in determining whether to entertain a writ petition). Specifically, we generally decline to exercise our discretion to entertain writ petitions challenging orders resolving motions to dismiss, and we are not convinced

any of the exceptions apply in this case. *See Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 113 Nev. 1343, 1344-45, 950 P.2d 280, 281 (1997) (discussing the exceptions to the general rule). We therefore,

ORDER the petition DENIED.<sup>1</sup>

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Hardesty

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Herndon

  
\_\_\_\_\_, Sr.J.  
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Monica Trujillo, District Judge  
Richard Harris Law Firm  
Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP/Las Vegas  
Pyatt Silvestri  
Eighth District Court Clerk

---

<sup>1</sup>The Honorable Mark Gibbons, Senior Justice, participated in the decision of this matter under a general order of assignment.