IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CURTIS LUNDY DOWNING,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
JESSICA K. PETERSON, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 83126-COA



ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges the district court's cancellation of certain hearings and failure to resolve a petition for a writ of prohibition.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). Whether a petition for extraordinary writ relief will be entertained rests within this court's sound discretion. D.R. Horton, Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 123 Nev. 468, 474-75, 168 P.3d 731, 736-37 (2007). Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

Based on our review of the petition, we conclude that petitioner has failed to meet his burden of demonstrating that extraordinary writ relief is warranted. See id. Notably, while petitioner's concern regarding the district court's delay in resolving his filings is understandable, we are confident that the district court will resolve those matters as soon as its docket allows. Accordingly, we deny the petition. NRAP 21(b)(1); D.R. Horton, 123 Nev. at 475, 168 P.3d at 737.

It is so ORDERED.

Gibbons, C.J.

Tao J.

Bulla, J.

cc: Hon. Jessica K. Peterson, District Judge Curtis Lundy Downing Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk