

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LANCE REBERGER,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK,
Respondent,
and
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 83311

FILED

AUG 26 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This pro se original petition seeks a writ of prohibition compelling the district court to deny several pretrial motions filed by the State.


This court has original jurisdiction to issue writs of prohibition, and the issuance of such extraordinary relief is solely within this court's discretion. See Nev. Const. art. 6, § 4; *D.R. Horton, Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 123 Nev. 468, 474-75, 168 P.3d 731, 736-37 (2007). Petitioners bear the burden to show that extraordinary relief is warranted, and such relief is proper only when there is no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law. See *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 844 (2004). An appeal is generally an adequate remedy precluding writ relief. *Id.* at 224, 88 P.3d at 841. Even when an appeal is not immediately available because the challenged order is interlocutory in nature, the fact that the order may ultimately be challenged on appeal from a final judgment generally precludes writ relief. *Id.* at 225, 88 P.3d at 841. Having considered the petition, we are not persuaded that our

extraordinary intervention is warranted because petitioner has not demonstrated that an appeal from a judgment below would not be a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy to challenge the district court's rulings on the motions.

Further, although petitioner has supplied a transcript of a hearing on the motions, he has not provided this court with a copy of a written order signed by the district court. *See* NRAP 21(a)(4) (providing the petitioner shall submit an appendix containing all documents "essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition"); *see also Rust v. Clark Cty. Sch. Dist.*, 103 Nev. 686, 689, 747 P.2d 1380, 1382 (1987) (explaining that a written order is essential to this court's review). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.


_____, C.J.
Hardesty


_____, J.
Parraguirre


_____, J.
Stiglich

cc: Hon. Linda Marie Bell, Chief Judge
Lance Reberger
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk