IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LOUIE RODRIGUEZ, JR., Appellant, vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

(0)-4892

No. 37597

JUN 12 2001 JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY CONFF DEPUTY CLERK

FILED

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a guilty plea, of one count of possession of a controlled substance, second offense. The district court sentenced appellant to a prison term of 18 to 48 months. The district court suspended the sentence and placed appellant on probation for a period of up to 5 years.

Appellant contends that the sentence constitutes cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the United States and Nevada constitutions because the sentence is disproportionate to the crime.¹ We disagree.

The Eighth Amendment does not require strict proportionality between crime and sentence, but forbids only an extreme sentence that is grossly disproportionate to the

¹Appellant primarily relies on <u>Solem v. Helm</u>, 463 U.S. 277 (1983).

crime.² Regardless of its severity, a sentence that is within the statutory limits is not "'cruel and unusual punishment unless the statute fixing punishment is unconstitutional or the sentence is so unreasonably disproportionate to the offense as to shock the conscience.'"³

و د د م

(0)-4892

This court has consistently afforded the district court wide discretion in its sentencing decision.⁴ This court will refrain from interfering with the sentence imposed "[s]o long as the record does not demonstrate prejudice resulting from consideration of information or accusations founded on facts supported only by impalpable or highly suspect evidence."⁵

In the instant case, appellant does not allege that the district court relied on impalpable or highly suspect evidence or that the relevant statutes are unconstitutional. Further, we note that the sentence imposed was within the parameters provided by the relevant statutes.⁶ Accordingly,

²Harmelin v. Michigan, 501 U.S. 957, 1000-01 (1991) (plurality opinion).

³<u>Blume v. State</u>, 112 Nev. 472, 475, 915 P.2d 282, 284 (1996) (quoting <u>Culverson v. State</u>, 95 Nev. 433, 435, 596 P.2d 220, 221-22 (1979)); <u>see also Glegola v. State</u>, 110 Nev. 344, 348, 871 P.2d 950, 953 (1994).

⁴See Houk v. State, 103 Nev. 659, 747 P.2d 1376 (1987).

⁵<u>Silks v. State</u>, 92 Nev. 91, 94, 545 P.2d 1159, 1161 (1976).

⁶See NRS 453.336(2)(a); NRS 193.130(2)(e).

we conclude that the sentence imposed does not constitute cruel and unusual punishment.

(Ö)-4892

Having considered appellant's contention and concluded that it is without merit, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

Young Prult J. <u>a</u>_' J. Leavit

J.

Becker

cc: Hon. Michael R. Griffin, District Judge
Attorney General
Carson City District Attorney
State Public Defender
Carson City Clerk

3