## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOHN AND JANE DOES 1-6; AND ROE CORPORATIONS 7-10, Petitioners,

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE MARK R. DENTON, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents,

and TERRY TROFHOLZ; AND MACKENZIE TROFHOLZ,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 82574

FILED

MAR 0 5 2021

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of prohibition challenges a district court order denying a motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. Petitioners have also filed an emergency motion to stay the district court proceedings and enforcement of a subpoena pending our consideration of this petition. Real parties in interest have filed an opposition to the stay motion, and petitioners have filed a reply.

Having considered the petition and supporting documentation, we conclude that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is not warranted. See Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991) (recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary

remedy and that this court has sole discretion in determining whether to entertain a writ petition). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Parraguirre

Stiglich
Silver

Silver

Hon. Mark R. Denton, District Judge cc: Stephens Law Offices Kezhaya Law PLC Kutak Rock LLP/Minneapolis

Howard & Howard Attorneys PLLC

Eighth District Court Clerk



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In light of this order, petitioners' emergency stay motion is denied as moot.