


IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JERRY JAY,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 81567-COA

**FILED**

DEC 21 2020

ELIZABETH L. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE*

Jerry Jay appeals from a district court order denying a motion to correct an illegal sentence filed on March 16, 2020. Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County; Egan K. Walker, Judge.


In his motion, Jay claimed the district court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to impose his sentence because Nevada falls under the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo and the Nevada Revised Statutes were not properly enacted. He also claimed all land belongs to the indigenous tribes and all laws of the State were repealed in 1963. On appeal, Jay also claims there was an issue with a CUSIP bond and the Vatican created a legal fiction, through the Uniform Probate Code, to exclude the Sovereign People.

Jay failed to demonstrate that his sentence was facially illegal or the district court lacked jurisdiction. *See Edwards v. State*, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). He did not allege his sentence was facially illegal, and his claims did not implicate the courts' subject matter jurisdiction. *See Nev. Const. art. 6, § 6(1); NRS 171.010; Landreth v. Malik*, 127 Nev. 175, 183, 251 P.3d 163, 168 (2011) ("Subject matter jurisdiction is the court's authority to render a judgment in a particular category of case.")

(internal quotation marks omitted)). Therefore, we conclude the district court did not err by denying Jay's motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Gibbons

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Tao

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Bulla

cc: Hon. Egan K. Walker, District Judge  
Jerry Jay  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Washoe County District Attorney  
Washoe District Court Clerk