

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FREDDIE JABARI WRIGHT,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.


No. 80095

FREDDIE JABARI WRIGHT,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 80096

FILED

SEP 22 2020

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

These are pro se consolidated appeals from district court orders denying petitions for writs of mandamus. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Douglas W. Herndon, Judge.

In his petitions, Wright sought writs of mandamus prohibiting the Nevada Department of Corrections from using information in his presentence investigation report (PSI) regarding his juvenile record in determining whether to transfer him to transitional housing. A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act which the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, NRS 34.160, or to control a manifest abuse or arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion, *Round Hill Gen. Improvement Dist. v. Newman*, 97 Nev. 601, 603-04, 637 P.2d 534, 536 (1981). A writ of mandamus will not issue, however, if the petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law. NRS 34.170.

Wright had a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law by way of a direct appeal alleging errors in his PSI. See *Stockmeier v. State, Bd. of Parole Comm'rs*, 127 Nev. 243, 250, 255 P.3d 209, 214 (2011) ("In the

Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk