IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHARLES EDWARD COOPER, JR., Petitioner, vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, Respondent, and THE STATE OF NEVADA, Real Party in Interest. No. 81661

FILED

ELIZABETH A. BROWN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY S. Young DEPUTY CLERK

SEP 0 4 2020

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus seeks a writ directing the district court to dismiss the criminal charges against petitioner based on the State's alleged 17 day delay in arraigning petitioner.

Problematically, petitioner has not provided this court with exhibits or other documentation that would support his claims for relief. See NRAP 21(a)(4) (providing the petitioner shall submit an appendix containing all documents "essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition").

A service of the serv

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

O) 1947A

We reiterate that "[p]etitioner[] carr[ies] the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted." *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.¹

Pickering, C.J. Pickering ilner , J. _, J. Hardesty Charles Edward Cooper, Jr. cc: Attorney General/Carson City **Clark County District Attorney** Eighth District Court Clerk ¹Petitioner's failure to provide timely proof of service of the petition constitutes an additional basis upon which to deny relief. NRAP 21(a)(1).

2

SUPREME COURT OF

NEVADA

(O) 1947A @