IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANNESE DUNLEAVY,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
RICHARD SCOTTI, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
CITY OF LAS VEGAS,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 80638-COA

FILED

MAY 1 1 2020

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

In this original petition for a writ of mandamus, Annese Dunleavy seeks an order vacating her adjudication of guilt on a driving under the influence charge and remanding for a new trial.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act which the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, NRS 34.160, or to control a manifest abuse or arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion, Round Hill Gen. Improvement Dist. v. Newman, 97 Nev. 601, 603-04, 637 P.2d 534, 536 (1981). Mandamus is an extraordinary remedy, and it is within the discretion of this court to determine if a petition will be considered. See Poulos v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 98 Nev. 453, 455, 652 P.2d 1177, 1178 (1982); see also State ex rel. Dep't of Transp. v. Thompson, 99 Nev. 358, 360, 662 P.2d 1338, 1339 (1983). "Petitioner[] carr[ies] the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted." Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120

(O) 1947B

Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). We conclude Dunleavy has failed to demonstrate this court's intervention by way of extraordinary writ is warranted. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Gibbons

Tao

Tao

Bulla

cc: Hon. Richard Scotti, District Judge
Law Offices of John G. Watkins
The Pariente Law Firm, P.C.
Attorney General/Carson City
Las Vegas City Attorney/Criminal Division
Eighth District Court Clerk