IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROGER D. REETER,

vs.

DINA LYN REETER,

Respondent.

Appellant,

No. 37400

FILED

MAR 14 2001

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

ON THE COURT OF THE

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from a district court order denying appellant's motion to quash service of process. Our review of the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(e) reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, an order denying a motion to quash is not substantively appealable. Accordingly, as we lack jurisdiction, we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.2

Shearing J.

Agosti J.

Rose

¹NRAP 3A(b); Klepper v. Klepper, 51 Nev. 145, 271 P. 336 (1928); see also Trump v. District Court, 109 Nev. 687, 857 P.2d 740 (1993) (noting that petition for writ of prohibition is appropriate method to challenge district court's refusal to quash service of process).

 $^{^2\}mathrm{We}$ note that appellant failed to pay the filing fee required by NRS 2.250. This constitutes an independent basis for dismissing this appeal. Although appellant was not granted leave to file papers in proper person, see NRAP 46(b), we have considered the proper person documents received from him.

cc: Hon. William O. Voy, District Judge,
Family Court Division
Jerome A. DePalma
Roger Douglas Reeter
Clark County Clerk