IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SHIRRON GAYLES-ZANDERS,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
DOUGLAS W. HERNDON, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 80935

FILED

APR 15 2020

CLERY OF SUPPLEME COURT
BY CLERK DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

In this original pro se petition, petitioner appears to challenge Eighth Judicial District Court Judge Herndon's refusal to recuse himself and actions by her prior and current counsel.

Problematically, petitioner has not provided this court with all of the exhibits or documentation that would support her claims for relief. See NRAP 21(a)(4) (providing the petitioner shall submit an appendix containing all documents "essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition"). Therefore, without deciding the merits of the claims raised, we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction in this matter. See NRAP 21(b).

We reiterate that "[p]etitioner[] carr[ies] the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted." Pan v. Eighth

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Pickering , C.J.

Hardesty, J.

Cadish

cc: Hon. Douglas W. Herndon, District Judge Shirron Gayles-Zanders

Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

¹Petitioner's failure to provide timely proof of service of the petition also constitutes an additional basis upon which to deny relief. NRAP 21(a)(1).