IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE
COMPANY; AND NEVADA TITLE
INSURANCE COMPANY,
Petitioners,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK;
AND THE HONORABLE TIMOTHY C.
WILLIAMS, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and

JAMES B.C. NELSON; AND 6221 RED

PINE TRUST.

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 80772

FILED

APR 1 6 2020

ELIZATETHA SROWN

CLERKOF SUPREME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges district court orders denying motions to allow discovery and for reconsideration in an insurance breach of policy and bad faith action. Having considered petitioners' arguments and the supporting documents, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted. NRS 34.160; Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991). In particular, petitioners have not met their burden of demonstrating that the decision here fits within the narrow exceptions under which writ relief may be warranted despite the availability of an adequate legal remedy. NRS 34.170; Okada v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court 134 Nev. 6, 10, 408 P.3d 566, 570 (2018) (emphasizing that "generally this court will not consider writ petitions challenging orders denying discovery, as such discretionary rulings typically may be adequately redressed on direct appeal from an adverse final judgment");

(O) 1947A

Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 843-844 (2004) (providing that petitioners bear the burden of demonstrating that writ relief is warranted and observing that an appeal from a final judgment is generally an adequate remedy precluding writ relief). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Parraguirre

Hardesty

Cadish

Hon. Timothy C. Williams, District Judge cc:

Gerrard Cox & Larsen

James S. Kent

Eighth District Court Clerk