


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WILBERT ROY HOLMES,
Appellant,
vs.
CAPUCINE YOLANDA HOLMES,
Respondent.

No. 80641

FILED

MAR 20 2020

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL


This is a pro se appeal from an order granting a motion for an order to show cause why appellant should not be held in contempt, to compel compliance with decree of divorce, and for an award of attorney fees and costs. Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Court Division, Clark County; Rena G. Hughes, Judge.

Review of the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(g) reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, the judgment or order designated in the notice of appeal is not substantively appealable. See NRAP 3A(b). This court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule. *Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels*, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984). No statute or court rule provides for an appeal from an order finding a party in contempt, compelling compliance with a divorce decree and a related award of attorney fees. See *Pengilly v. Rancho Santa Fe Homeowners Ass'n*, 116 Nev. 646, 649, 5 P.3d 569, 671 (2000) (recognizing that a contempt order entered in an ancillary proceeding is not appealable); compare *Vaile v. Vaile*, 133 Nev. 213, 217, 396 P.3d 791, 794 (2017); and *Lewis v. Lewis*, 132 Nev. 453, 373 P.3d 878

(2016). To the extent appellant challenges the award of attorney fees and costs, the appeal is premature because the district court has directed respondent to submit a memorandum of fees. Accordingly, this court lacks jurisdiction and

ORDERS this appeal DISMISSED.


_____, J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Stiglich


_____, J.
Silver

cc: Hon. Rena G. Hughes, District Judge, Family Court Division
Wilbert Roy Holmes
Heaton Fontano, Ltd.
Eighth District Court Clerk