IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LEONARD MORGAN HAIRSTON,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK,
Respondent,
and
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 80588

FILED

FEB 2 7 2020

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION

This original pro se petition seeks a writ of prohibition commanding the district court to vacate petitioner's judgment of conviction and refrain from taking any further action because the district court entered his judgment of conviction when it lacked jurisdiction.

Problematically, petitioner has not provided this court with exhibits or other documentation that would support his claims for relief. See NRAP 21(a)(4) (providing the petitioner shall submit an appendix containing all documents "essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition"). Therefore, without deciding the merits of the claims raised, we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction in this matter. See NRAP 21(b).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

20-07961

We reiterate that "[p]etitioner[] carr[ies] the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted." Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Pickering, C.J

Hardesty, J.

Cadish

cc:

Leonard Morgan Hairston Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk