IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GARY R. SCHMIDT,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE, AND THE HONORABLE JEROME M. POLAHA, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FOR WASHOE COUNTY; WASHOE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; JOHN C. WOOD; WILLIAM E. BUCK; AND STEPHAN E. BUCK,

Real Parties in Interest.

ORDER DENYING PETITION

FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus, challenging the district court's order denying petitioner's motion to supplement the administrative record. We have considered the petition, and we are not satisfied that this

.

No. 37339

FILED

JUN 13 2001 JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COUNT BY OTHEF DEPUTY CLERK court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted.¹

Accordingly, we deny the petition.²

It is so ORDERED.

J. Your J.

J.

cc: Hon. Jerome M. Polaha, District Judge
Washoe County District Attorney
Gary M. Pakele
Washoe County Clerk

¹See Karow v. Mitchell, 110 Nev. 958, 878 P.2d 978 (1994) (stating that a writ of mandamus will not issue if the petitioner has a plain, speedy and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law, such as an appeal from a final judgment); <u>Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman</u>, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981) (stating that mandamus is not available to control discretionary action unless discretion is manifestly abused or exercised arbitrarily or capriciously); <u>Carson City</u> v. Lepire, 112 Nev. 363, 914 P.2d 631 (1996) (discussing the procedure for supplementing the administrative record in NRS Chapter 233B district court proceedings).

²See NRAP 21(b); <u>Smith v. District Court</u>, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).