## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

VICTOR TAGLE, SR., Petitioner, VS. THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA. IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WHITE PINE. Respondent.

No. 80060

FILED

JAN 3 1 2020

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

In this original petition, which we have construed as a petition for a writ of mandamus, petitioner appears to seek an order directing the district court to file his civil complaint.

Problematically, petitioner has not provided this court with exhibits or other documentation that would support his claims for relief. See NRAP 21(a)(4) (providing the petitioner shall submit an appendix containing all documents "essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition"). Therefore, without deciding the merits of the claims raised, we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction in this matter. See NRAP 21(b).

We reiterate that "[p]etitioner[] carr[ies] the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted." Pan v. Eighth

NEVADA

Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Pickering , C.

Hardesty, J.

Cadish

cc: Victor Tagle, Sr.

Attorney General/Carson City

White Pine County Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Petitioner's failure to provide timely proof of service of the petition constitutes an additional basis upon which to deny relief. NRAP 21(a)(1).