## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FARMERS INSURANCE EXCHANGE, Petitioner.

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE KENNETH C. CORY, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents.

and

SANDY HERRERA.

Real Party in Interest.

No. 80259

JAN 23 2020

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order denying a motion for partial summary judgment and granting a countermotion for partial summary judgment. Having considered the petition and supporting documentation, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted at this time. See Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991) (recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary remedy and that this court has sole discretion in determining whether to entertain a writ petition). In particular, we are not persuaded that an appeal from an adverse final judgment would fail to provide petitioner with an adequate legal remedy. See Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 844 (2004) (observing that the party seeking writ relief bears the burden of showing

(O) 1947A

such relief is warranted and that the right to appeal is an adequate legal remedy that may preclude writ relief). Accordingly, we ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Pickering C.J.

Gibbons

Douglas

cc: Hon. Kenneth C. Cory, District Judge The Feldman Firm, P.C. Ganz & Hauf/Las Vegas Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In light of this order, we deny petitioner's motion for stay as moot.

The Honorable Michael Douglas, Senior Justice, participated in the decision of this matter under a general order of assignment.