## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LARRY WELENC,

Appellant,

vs.

NANCY C. OESTERLE, JUSTICE OF THE PEACE,

Respondent.

No. 37323

FILED

FEB 22 2001

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from a district court minute order denying appellant's motion for leave to file a fourth amended complaint. Our review of the documentation before this court reveals several jurisdictional defects.

First, no appeal may be taken from a minute order.<sup>1</sup>
A notice of appeal filed before the entry of a formal written order is of no effect.<sup>2</sup> Also, even if the district court had entered a written order, an order denying leave to file an amended complaint is not a final judgment or otherwise appealable.<sup>3</sup> Accordingly, as we lack jurisdiction, we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.4

Young J.

Rose J.

Becker J.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Rust v. Clark Cty. School District, 103 Nev. 686, 747 P.2d 1380 (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup><u>Id.</u>; NRAP 4(a)(1).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ Lee v. GNLV, 116 Nev. \_\_\_\_, 996 P.2d 416 (2000) (noting that a final judgment is one that disposes of all claims as to all parties); NRAP 3A(b) (listing the orders from which an appeal may be taken).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>We deny appellant's motion for leave to appear in forma pauperis as moot in light of this order; the motion was contained within the text of the notice of appeal. Although appellant was not granted leave to appear in proper person, see NRAP 46(b), we have nevertheless considered the proper person documents received from appellant.

cc: Hon. James C. Mahan, District Judge Clark County District Attorney Larry Welenc Clark County Clerk