


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MAURICE DANIEL TALLEY,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK,  
Respondent,  
and  
THE STATE OF NEVADA; AND BRIAN  
WILLIAMS, WARDEN,  
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 80219

**FILED**

JAN 03 2020

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS*

This original pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus challenges the constitutionality of Nevada's robbery and kidnapping statutes and raises a claim of double jeopardy.


Problematically, petitioner has not provided this court with exhibits or other documentation that would support his claims for relief. See NRAP 21(a)(4) (providing the petitioner shall submit an appendix containing all documents "essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition"). Therefore, without deciding the merits of the claims raised, we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction in this matter, see NRAP 21(b); *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) ("Petitioner[ ] carr[ies] the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted.").

Moreover, we note that a challenge to a judgment of conviction must be raised in a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed

in the district court in the first instance. NRS 34.724; NRS 34.738; NRAP 22.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gibbons C.J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Pickering, J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hardesty, J.

cc: Maurice Daniel Talley  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk

---

<sup>1</sup>We express no opinion as to whether petitioner could meet the procedural requirements of NRS Chapter 34