IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOHN DAVID PAMPLIN, Petitioner, vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, Respondent, and THE STATE OF NEVADA, Real Party in Interest. No. 79933

DEC 1 3 2019

FILED

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

In this original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus, petitioner seeks an order directing the district court to vacate and reverse its order denying petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus that was based on petitioner's allegations that the district court lacked jurisdiction to enter the judgment of conviction.

Problematically, petitioner has not provided this court with exhibits or other documentation that would support his claims for relief. See NRAP 21(a)(4) (providing the petitioner shall submit an appendix containing all documents "essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition"). Therefore, without deciding the merits of the claims raised, we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction in this matter, see NRAP 21(b); Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840,

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA 844 (2004) ("Petitioner[] carr[ies] the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted."). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.¹

C.J.

Gibbons

Pickering _, J. Pickering

arlesty, J.

Hardesty

cc: John David Pamplin Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

¹Petitioner's failure to provide timely proof of service of the petition also constitutes an additional basis upon which to deny relief NRAP 21(a)(1).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA