IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LAWRENCE RONALD VALENTINE, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA; N.D.O.C.; AND BRIAN WILLIAMS, WARDEN, Respondents. No. 77515-COA

FLED

NOV 1 2 2019

CLERK OF SUPPLEME COURT

BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF REVERSAL AND REMAND

Lawrence Ronald Valentine appeals from an order of the district court denying his pleading entitled "Order to Nullify J.O.C. Due to Want of Prosecution – Pursuant to Nevada Constitution and Immediate Release from Custody Within 4 Hours Next Business Day at 12 Noon" filed on July 18, 2018. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Michael Villani, Judge.

Based on the nature of the relief sought and type of claims raised in his pleadings, the district court construed Valentine's pleading to be a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. We conclude the district court did not err by so construing the pleading. See NRS 34.724(2)(b) (a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus is the exclusive remedy for challenging the validity of a conviction or sentence). However, the district court then denied the pleading as procedurally barred without giving Valentine an opportunity to cure the defects in his pleading, i.e., to plead good cause and prejudice to overcome the procedural bars. This was error. See Miles v. State, 120 Nev. 383, 387, 91 P.3d 588, 590 (2004) (recognizing that NRS Chapter 34 does not prohibit the amendment of a petition to cure pleading defects); see also Harris v. State, 130 Nev. 435, 448-

49, 329 P.3d 619, 628 (2014). Therefore, we reverse the order of the district court and remand this matter for the district court to give Valentine an opportunity to cure the defects in his pleading. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court REVERSED AND REMAND this matter to the district court for proceedings consistent with this order.

Gibbons, C.J.

Tao

Bulla J.

cc: Hon. Michael Villani, District Judge Lawrence Ronald Valentine Attorney General/Las Vegas Eighth District Court Clerk