## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CARLOS LORENZANA; JASIAN GONZALEZ, INC., D/B/A DON TORTACO MEXICAN GRILL #1 AND #19; OSCAR CASTENADA; AND FCO ENTERPRISES, INC., D/B/A DON TORTACO MEXICAN GRILL #13, Petitioners,

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE MARK R. DENTON, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents,

and

J. APOLINAR IBARRA; DON TORTACO CORPORATION; AND DON TORTACO FRANCHISING INC., Real Parties in Interest. No. 79919

FILED

NOV 0 4 2019

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order granting a motion to evict petitioners from Don Tortaco Mexican Grill units 1, 13, and 19.

The writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion, while its counterpart, the writ of prohibition, is available to curb an act outside of or in excess of the district court's jurisdiction. NRS 34.160; NRS 34.320; Segovia v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 133 Nev. 910, 911-12, 407 P.3d 783, 785 (2017). Having reviewed the petition and supporting documents under these standards, we conclude that

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is not warranted. See NRAP 21(b); Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (providing that petitioners bear the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted); Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991) (explaining that it is within this court's sole discretion to determine if a writ petition will be considered). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Stiglich
Stilner Silver

Hon. Mark R. Denton, District Judge cc: Sgro & Roger Howard & Howard Attorneys PLLC Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In light of this order, petitioners' emergency motion for stay pending our consideration of this petition is denied as moot. We also deny real parties in interest's request that we impose sanctions on petitioners for filing this petition and motion.