## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MARTIN JONES,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 37247

FILED

APR 12 2001

JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY CHEF DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from an order of the district court denying appellant's post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

In the petition, appellant presented claims of ineffective assistance of counsel. The district court found that counsel was not ineffective. The district court's factual findings regarding a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel are entitled to deference when reviewed on appeal. Appellant has not demonstrated that the district court's findings of fact are not supported by substantial evidence or are clearly wrong. Moreover, appellant has not demonstrated that the district court erred as a matter of law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Riley v. State, 110 Nev. 638, 647, 878 P.2d 272, 278 (1994).

Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the attached order of the district court, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.<sup>2</sup>

Young, J.

Young, J.

Leavitt , J.

Becker, J.

cc: Hon. Connie J. Steinheimer, District Judge
Attorney General
Washoe County District Attorney
Washoe County Public Defender
Cheryl Ann Field-Lang
Washoe County Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In addition to the attached order, the district court entered an order on May 4, 2000, dismissing a number of claims raised in appellant's post-conviction petition. Appellant does not challenge that order of the district court in this appeal.