IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

UNITED ROAD TOWING, INC., D/B/A
QUALITY TOWING; AND CITY
TOWING, INC., D/B/A QUALITY
TOWING,
Petitioners,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK;
AND THE HONORABLE RONALD J.
ISRAEL, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
FIRST SERVICE CREDIT UNION,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 69538

FILED

FEB 1 2 2016

CHIEF DEPOTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND PROHIBITION

This original petition for writs of mandamus and prohibition challenges the district court's jurisdiction to consider an action alleging violations of NRS Chapter 706's tow car provisions.

Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we conclude that our extraordinary intervention is not warranted. NRS 34.160; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008); Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 844 (2004) (stating that an appeal is generally an adequate legal remedy precluding writ relief and recognizing that petitioner bears the burden to demonstrate that writ relief is

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 🐠

16-04673

warranted); Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Parraguirre, C.J.

Douglas

Cherry J.

cc: Hon. Ronald J. Israel, District Judge Arias Sanguinetti Stahle Torrijos Toschi, Sidran, Collins, and Doyle Brooks Hubley LLP Winston & Strawn LLP/Chicago Attorney General/Las Vegas Eighth District Court Clerk

¹Writs of mandamus and prohibition will issue only upon application of the party beneficially interested, based on affidavit. See NRS 34.170; NRS 34.330. Petitioners' failure to provide such an affidavit is an alternative basis on which to deny this writ petition. NRAP 21(a)(5).