IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KATHRYN REYNOLDS; AND NORMAN GARAND,

Petitioners,

VS.

NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION AUTHORIZED TO DO BUSINESS IN NEVADA; GREGORY WILDE, A NEVADA ATTORNEY; AND CINDY LEE STOCK, A NEVADA ATTORNEY,

Respondents.

No. 70581

FILED

JUL 1 3 2016

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition seeks, among other things, an order directing the United States District Court for the District of Nevada to grant petitioners' motion to remand.

Having considered the petition and the supporting documents, we are not persuaded that our intervention is appropriate, as this court lacks the authority to order the federal district court to take or refrain from taking the requested actions. See 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d) (2012); Laguna Vill. Inc. v. Laborers Int'l Union of N. Am., 672 P.2d 882, 885 (Cal. 1983) (recognizing that "the federal removal statute... expressly prohibit[s] any proceeding in state court after removal and prior to remand"); see also Mineral Cty. v. State, Dep't of Conservation & Nat. Res., 117 Nev. 235, 242-43, 20 P.3d 800, 805 (2001) (observing that a writ of mandamus is available only to compel the performance of an act by an "inferior" state tribunal, corporation, board, or person); Gojack v. Second Judicial Dist.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA Court, 95 Nev. 443, 444, 596 P.2d 237, 238 (1979) (same with respect to a writ of prohibition); cf. Atlantic Coast Line R.R. Co. v. Bhd. of Locomotive Eng'rs, 398 U.S. 281, 286 (1970) ("[W]e have had in this country two essentially separate legal systems. Each system proceeds independently from the other.... [T]his dual system could not function if state and federal courts were free to fight each other for control of a particular case."). We therefore

ORDER the petition DENIED.¹

Cherry

Douglas

J.

Gibbons

cc: Kathryn Reynolds
Norman Garand
Thorndal Armstrong Delk Balkenbush & Eisinger/Reno
Tiffany & Bosco, P.A.

¹In light of our disposition of this write petition, petitioners' July 1, 2016, motion is denied as moot.