IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LAMARR ROWELL, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 73584

FILED

MAY 1 6 2018 ELIZABETH & BROWN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY________ DEPUTY CLERK 0

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

Lamarr Rowell appeals from a district court order denying the postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed on May 17, 2017.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Richard Scotti, Judge.

Rowell's petition was filed more than seven years after the remittitur on direct appeal was issued on January 15, 2010;² consequently, it was untimely filed and procedurally barred absent a demonstration of good cause—cause for the delay and undue prejudice. *See* NRS 34.726(1). Moreover, because the State specifically pleaded laches, Rowell was required to overcome the rebuttable presumption of prejudice to the State. *See* NRS 34.800(2).

Rowell claimed the procedural bars did not apply because he was filing his petition pursuant to NRS 34.360 and he was challenging the jurisdiction of the district court. Rowell's petition requested relief from his judgment of conviction and sentence; therefore, it was a postconviction

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEVADA

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument. NRAP 34(f)(3).

²See Rowell v. State, Docket No. 51789 (Order of Affirmance, September 9, 2009).

petition for a writ of habeas corpus and was subject to the provisions of NRS 34.720 through NRS 34.830. See NRS 34.720(1); NRS 34.724(2)(b). Furthermore, Rowell's claims did not implicate the jurisdiction of the courts. See Nev. Const. art. 6, § 6; NRS 171.010.

We conclude Rowell failed to demonstrate good cause or a fundamental miscarriage of justice sufficient to excuse the procedural bar to his petition and the State's specific plea of laches. See 34.726(1); NRS 34.800(1). Therefore, the district court did not err in denying the petition,³ and we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.⁴

J.

Tao

J.

Gibbons

Hon. Richard Scotti, District Judge cc: Lamarr Rowell Attorney General/Carson City **Clark County District Attorney** Eighth District Court Clerk

³Although the district court reached the correct result, it erred in finding this petition was successive because none of Rowell's previous postconviction habeas petitions were decided on the merits. See NRS 34.810(2).

⁴The Honorable Abbi Silver did not participate in the decision in this matter.

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEVADA