
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DASHAUN WRIGHT,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WHITE
PINE,
Respondent.

No. 73178



ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order dismissing a civil rights complaint.

Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we conclude that petitioner has failed to meet his burden of demonstrating that extraordinary writ relief is warranted. See NRS 34.160 (providing that a writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station); NRS 34.170 (explaining that writ relief is generally not available when the petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law); Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) ("Petitioners carry the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted."). In this case, petitioner has an adequate remedy in the form of an appeal from the final judgment in the underlying matter. See Pan, 120 Nev. at 224, 88 P.3d at 841 (holding that an appeal is generally

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEVADA

(O) 1947B

17-901389

an adequate remedy precluding writ relief). Accordingly, we deny the petition. See NRAP 21(b)(1); Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991) (providing that whether to consider a writ petition is discretionary).

It is so ORDERED.

Gilver, C.J.

Tao J.

Gibbons J.

cc: Dashaun Wright
Attorney General/Carson City
White Pine County Clerk

¹To the extent the time to appeal from the challenged order may have expired, a petition for extraordinary relief will not lie to correct an untimely notice of appeal. *See Pan*, 120 Nev. at 224-25, 88 P.3d at 841.