IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NICHOLAS JAMES AMATRONE, Appellant,

VS.

STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY; STATE FARM INSURANCE AGENCY; GREGORY A. MOORE; BELFOR RESTORATION; DOUGLAS WOOD; ROBIN SINGER; WILLIAMS ELECTRICS; AND ROBERT AMATRONE.

Respondents.

No. 70660

FILED

AUG 2 2 2018

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a pro se appeal from an order granting appellant's motion for a jury trial and denying appellant's motion to add defendants. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Gloria Sturman, Judge.

Our review of the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(g) reveals two jurisdictional defects. Specifically, it appears that the judgment or order designated in the notice of appeal is not substantively appealable. See NRAP 3A(b). This court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule. Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984). No statute or court rule provides for an appeal from an order denying a motion to add defendants.

In addition, it appears that appellant is not an aggrieved party with standing to appeal from that portion of the order granting appellant's motion for a jury trial. See NRAP 3A(a); Valley Bank of Nevada v.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

Ginsburg, 110 Nev. 440, 874 P.2d 729 (1994). Accordingly, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction, and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.1

Cherry

Cherry

J.

Douglas

Gibbons

J.

cc: Hon. Gloria Sturman, District Judge
Nicholas James Amatrone
Ames & Ames, LLP
Kravitz, Schnitzer & Johnson, Chtd.
Armstrong Teasdale, LLP/Las Vegas
Morris Polich & Purdy, LLP/Las Vegas
Pecos Law Group
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹We deny as most respondent State Farm Fire and Casualty's motion to dismiss, and we deny its request for fees.