## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KAREN HALBRITTER; AND KEOLIS TRANSIT SERVICES, LLC, Petitioners. VS. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND LARRY C. JOHNS. JUDGE PRO TEMPORE. Respondents, and CHERYLETHIA BEAUDOIN, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ARMANI BEAUDOIN, A MINOR: URIAH CANDLER, A MINOR; DEAANGEL CANDLER; AND JACQUE LACOUR, Real Parties in Interest.

No. 76204

FILED

JUL 2 4 2019

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of prohibition challenges a district court order granting a motion to set aside a stipulation between the parties to enter the short trial program. Having considered the petition, answer thereto, reply, and supporting documentation, we conclude that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is not warranted. See Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991) (recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary remedy and that this court has sole discretion in determining whether to entertain a writ petition). A writ of prohibition may be warranted when a district court acts without or in excess of its jurisdiction. NRS 34.320; Club Vista Fin. Servs. v. Eighth

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

19-31233

Judicial Dist. Court, 128 Nev. 224, 228, 276 P.3d 246, 249 (2012). Here, petitioners have not demonstrated that, in setting aside the stipulation, the court lacked or acted in excess of its jurisdiction. See Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) ("Petitioners carry the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted."). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Hardesty J.

\_\_\_, J.

Stiglich

Jehren, J.

Silver

cc: Larry C. Johns
Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP/Las Vegas
Tingey & Tingey
Stucki Injury Law
Eighth District Court Clerk