

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANDRE T. BERNARD,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
URSULA TYCZYNSKA,  
Respondent.

No. 37038

**FILED**

**MAY 17 2001**

JANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *J. Richard*  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying appellant's motion for reconsideration. We note that no appeal may be taken from an order denying a motion for reconsideration.<sup>1</sup> Nevertheless, we construe appellant's notice of appeal to be an appeal from the August 1, 2000 order denying appellant's motion to modify the child support obligation.<sup>2</sup>

Our review of the record on appeal demonstrates that the district court did not err in denying the motion to modify child support.<sup>3</sup> Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

*Shearing*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Shearing J.

*Agosti*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Agosti J.

*Rose*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rose J.

<sup>1</sup>See *Alvis v. State, Gaming Control Bd.*, 99 Nev. 184, 660 P.2d 980 (1983)

<sup>2</sup>See *Forman v. Eagle Thrifty Drugs & Markets*, 89 Nev. 533, 516 P.2d 1234 (1973).

<sup>3</sup>See NRS 130.611 (setting forth when the district court may modify a child support order from another state).

cc: Hon. Gloria S. Sanchez, District Judge,  
Family Court Division  
Andre T. Bernard  
Ursula Tyczynska  
Clark County Clerk