## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

VENETIAN CASINO RESORT, LLC, D/B/A PALAZZO CASINO RESORT, Petitioner,

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE ELISSA F. CADISH, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents,

and JOHN ANDERSON, AN INDIVIDUAL, Real Party in Interest. No. 77087

FILED

JUL 1 2 2019

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY S.YOULAA

DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order denying a motion to dismiss or for partial summary judgment in a tort action.

Having reviewed the petition, answer thereto, reply, and supporting documents, we conclude that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is not warranted. See NRS 34.160; NRS 34.170; Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 844 (2004) (stating that an appeal is generally an adequate legal remedy precluding writ relief and recognizing that petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that writ relief is warranted); Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 113 Nev. 1343, 1344-45, 950 P.2d 280, 281 (1997) (observing that this court generally will not consider writ petitions challenging orders denying motions to dismiss or for summary judgment); Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d. 849, 851 (1991) (observing that

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

issuance of the writ is subject to this court's discretion). To the extent that petitioner argues that this petition presents an opportunity to clarify whether punitive damages may be awarded for tort claims sounding in negligence, that issue will be better presented for this court's consideration after development of the factual record in the district court. Insofar as petitioner seeks a writ of prohibition, petitioner provides no cogent argument regarding that relief, and we need not consider it. See Edwards v. Emperor's Garden Rest., 122 Nev. 317, 330 n.38, 130 P.3d 1280, 1288 n.38 (2006). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Hardesty

Stiglich

Julian, J.

Stiglich

Jilner

Silver

cc: Eighth Judicial District Court, Dept. VI
Messner Reeves LLP
Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group
Hofland & Tomsheck
Eighth District Court Clerk