IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RICARDO LUNA-DOMIGUEZ A/K/A RICARDO LUNA DOMINGUEZ, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 66880

FILED MAY 1 8 2015 TRACIE K. LINDEMAN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY SUPREME COURT DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a pro se appeal from an order denying a motion to modify sentence.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Jerome T. Tao, Judge.

In his motion filed on September 18, 2014, appellant argued that his sentence should be modified because he had no criminal history. This claim fell outside the narrow scope of claims permissible in a motion to modify sentence. See Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). Appellant also claimed that the presentence investigation report misrepresented the criminal offense. Appellant failed to demonstrate that the district court relied upon a mistake of fact about his criminal record that worked to his extreme detriment. See id. Therefore,

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See Luckett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

we conclude that the district court did not err in denying the motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Parraguirre J. Douglas Cherry Eighth Judicial District Court Dept. 20 cc: Ricardo Luna-Domiguez Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

SUPREME COURT