## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, III, Petitioner, vs. STATE BAR OF NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD, Respondent. No. 77719

FILED

MAY 1 5 7619

CLERK OF STATE COURT

BY DEPUTY CLERK

19-21322

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This pro se petition for a writ of mandamus challenges the State Bar of Nevada's decision not to bring disciplinary charges against attorney Dominic Gentile. Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we are not convinced that petitioner has met his burden of demonstrating that our intervention is warranted, see Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004), and therefore, we decline to exercise our discretion in this matter. See Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Gibbors

Stiglich, J.

Silver

cc: Luis Alonso Hidalgo, III

Bar Counsel, State Bar of Nevada

<sup>1</sup>We construe petitioner's "disciplinary appeal pursuant to SCR 105" as a petition for a writ of mandamus, as this court lacks jurisdiction under SCR 105 to consider an appeal from the State Bar's decision not to pursue disciplinary charges against an attorney.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A