

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ZAISAN ENTERPRISES LLC,
Appellant,
vs.
GREEN TREE SERVICING LLC,
Respondent.

No. 75958

FILED

MAR 26 2019

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is an appeal from a district court order granting a motion for summary judgment in a quiet title action. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Tierra Danielle Jones, Judge.

Counsel for appellant has filed a Notice of Bankruptcy, informing this court that respondent has filed for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Respondent has filed a similar notice. The filing of a bankruptcy petition operates to stay, automatically, the "continuation" of any "judicial . . . action . . . against the debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)(1). An appeal, for purposes of the automatic stay, is considered a continuation of the action in the trial court. Consequently, an appeal is automatically stayed if the debtor was the defendant in the underlying trial court action. *See Ingersoll-Rand Fin. Corp. v. Miller Mining Co., Inc.*, 817 F.2d 1424 (9th Cir. 1987).

It appears that respondent was a defendant, counterclaimant, and third-party plaintiff below. The portions of this appeal relating to the claims against respondent are stayed pursuant to the automatic stay provisions of federal bankruptcy law; those portions of this appeal may

linger indefinitely on this court's docket pending final resolution of the bankruptcy proceedings. Given the overlapping nature of the claims against respondent and respondent's counterclaims and third-party claims, as well as this court's policy of avoiding piecemeal review, *see, e.g., Barbara Ann Hollier Trust v. Shack*, 131 Nev. 582, 590, 356 P.3d 1085, 1090 (2015), this court concludes that judicial efficiency will be best served if this appeal is dismissed, in its entirety, without prejudice. Because a dismissal *without prejudice* will not require this court to reach the merits of this appeal and is not inconsistent with the primary purposes of the bankruptcy stay—to provide protection for debtors and creditors—such dismissal will not violate the bankruptcy stay.¹ *See Indep. Union of Flight Attendants v. Pan Am. World Airways, Inc.*, 966 F.2d 457, 459 (9th Cir. 1992) (holding that the automatic stay does not preclude dismissal of an appeal so long as dismissal is “consistent with the purpose of [11 U.S.C. §362(a)”]; *Dean v. Trans World Airlines, Inc.*, 72 F.3d 754, 755 (9th Cir. 1995) (holding that a post-bankruptcy petition dismissal will violate the automatic stay “where a decision to dismiss requires the court to first consider other issues presented by or related to the underlying case”).

Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed. This dismissal is without prejudice to the parties' rights to move for reinstatement of this appeal within 90 days of either the lifting of the bankruptcy stay or final resolution

¹The automatic stay provides a debtor “with protection against hungry creditors” and gives it a “breathing spell from its creditors” by stopping all collection efforts. *Dean v. Trans World Airlines, Inc.*, 72 F.3d 754, 755 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, it “assures creditors that the debtor's other creditors are not racing to various courthouses to pursue independent remedies to drain the debtor's assets.” *Id.* at 755-56.

of the bankruptcy proceedings, if such a motion is deemed appropriate at that time.

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, J.
Pickering

Parraguirre, J.
Parraguirre

Cadish, J.
Cadish

cc: Hon. Tierra Danielle Jones, District Judge
Wolfe Thompson
Wolfe & Wyman LLP
Fennemore Craig P.C./Reno
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
Eighth District Court Clerk