

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JEREMY JAY SINGLES, A/K/A MARK
DAVID NEMETH,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 74340-COA

FILED

DEC 19 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

Jeremy Jay Singles appeals from an order of the district court denying a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed on April 26, 2017.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Elissa F. Cadish, Judge.

In his petition, Singles claimed the State of Nevada Board of Parole Commissioners (Parole Board) violated his due process rights when it revoked his parole. Specifically, he claimed he was not given a second preliminary inquiry when he was served with a supplemental violation report, the Parole Board erroneously relied on the inadmissible supplemental violation report, and the Parole Board failed to provide him with all of the evidence relied upon to revoke his parole.

Singles failed to demonstrate the district court erred by denying his petition because he failed to demonstrate the revocation of his parole violated due process. Singles received a written notice of the claimed


¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument and we conclude the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. NRAP 34(f)(3), (g).


violations, the evidence used against him was disclosed, he was given the opportunity to be heard in person and to present witnesses and testimony, he was informed he had the right to confront and cross-examine adverse witness, his hearing was in front of a neutral and detached hearing body, and he received a written statement by the factfinders as to the evidence relied on and reasons for revoking parole. *See Morrissey v. Brewer*, 408 U.S. 471, 488-89 (1972).

Singles was informed at the hearing the new violations in the supplemental violation report would not be used against him. While the Parole Board's written findings state the Parole Board considered the supplemental violation report and police reports, these contained information relating to the charges in the original violation report and, therefore, it was not error for the Parole Board to rely on them. Further, the written findings specifically state the Parole Board took no action on the violations in the supplemental violation report and the reasons for revocation were the allegations contained in the original violation report. Finally, Singles admitted to the all of the violations in the original violation report. Accordingly, Singles failed to demonstrate the Parole Board abused its discretion when revoking his parole. Therefore, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.


_____, C.J.
Silver


_____, J.
Tao


_____, J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Elissa Cadish, District Judge
Jeremy Jay Singles
Attorney General/Carson City
Attorney General/Las Vegas
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk