## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT LOGAN BERRY, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 74936-COA

FILED

NOV 1 9 2018

CLERK OF AUFRECIE COURT

BY

DEPUTY GLERK

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

Robert Logan Berry appeals from an order of the district court denying a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed on November 2, 2017.<sup>1</sup> Tenth Judicial District Court, Churchill County; Thomas L. Stockard, Judge.

Berry filed his petition nearly two years after issuance of the remittitur on direct appeal on November 13, 2015. See Berry v. State, Docket No. 66225 (Order of Affirmance, October 19, 2015). Berry's petition was therefore untimely filed and procedurally barred absent a demonstration of good cause—cause for the delay and undue prejudice. See NRS 34.726(1); NRAP 4(c)(5). Berry made no attempt to demonstrate good cause. We therefore conclude the district court did not err by denying Berry's petition as procedurally barred.

This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument and we conclude the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. NRAP 34(f)(3), (g).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Although Berry entitled his filing a "motion for modification of sentence," the claim therein challenged the validity of his conviction, and the district court accordingly construed it as a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. See NRS 34.724(2)(c).

To the extent Berry intended his filing to be considered only as a motion for modification of sentence, he was not entitled to relief. His claim was outside the scope of a motion for modification where he failed to allege that the district court relied on mistaken assumptions regarding his criminal record that worked to his extreme detriment. See Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). Accordingly, we ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.<sup>2</sup>

Silver

Two

Tao

Gibbons

C.J.

C.J.

cc: Hon. Thomas L. Stockard, District Judge Robert Logan Berry Attorney General/Carson City Churchill County District Attorney/Fallon Churchill County Clerk

(O) 1947B

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We conclude the district court did not abuse its discretion by declining to appoint postconviction counsel. See NRS 34.750(1); Renteria-Novoa v. State, 133 Nev. \_\_\_, \_\_\_, 391 P.3d 760, 760-61 (2017).