IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RANDAL N. WIIDEMAN,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR CARSON CITY, RE #00-00535A,

Respondent.

OCT 17 2000

FILED

No. 36809

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This is an original proper person petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenging the district court's order transferring venue from the First Judicial District Court to the Sixth Judicial District Court. An order granting a motion for a change of venue is an appealable order. <u>See NRAP 3A(b)(2)</u>. An appeal is an adequate remedy, precluding writ relief. <u>See</u> Guerin v. Guerin, 114 Nev. 127, 953 P.2d 716 (1998), abrogated on other grounds by Pengilly v. Ranch Santa Fe Homeowners, 116 Nev. __, 5 P.3d 569 (2000). Accordingly, we deny this petition.

It is so ORDERED.1

J. Maupin J. Leavitt

J.

¹Petitioner failed to pay the filing fee required by NRS 2.250, although he filed a motion for leave to appear in forma pauperis. We conclude that petitioner has not demonstrated good cause to waive the fee and this constitutes an independent basis for denial of the petition. <u>See NRAP 21(e)</u>.

Although petitioner was not granted leave to file papers in proper person, see NRAP 46(b), we have considered the proper person documents received from petitioner. cc: Hon. Michael R. Griffin, District Judge Attorney General Randal N. Wiideman Carson City Clerk

(O)-4892