IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SINO-SCIENCE NORTH AMERICA PHOTOBIOTECH INC.; AND SUNCORE PHOTOVOLTAICS INC., Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE KENNETH C. CORY, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and

@REALTY, LLC; AND JEFFREY

SKLAR, AN INDIVIDUAL, Real Parties in Interest.

No. 76227

FILED

AUG 1 0 2018

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Y. CLERK
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenging a district court order resolving a motion to compel disclosure of insurance documents.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). We may issue a writ of prohibition to arrest the proceedings of a district court exercising its judicial functions when such proceedings are in excess of the district court's jurisdiction. See NRS 34.320. Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that

COURT OF APPEALS
OF
NEVADA

extraordinary relief is warranted. See Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

Having considered the documents before us in this matter, we conclude that our extraordinary intervention is not warranted. See id. Accordingly, we deny the petition. NRAP 21(b)(1).

It is so ORDERED.

Silver

Two, J.

Tao

Gibbons

J.

cc: Hon. Kenneth C. Cory, District Judge Brauer, Driscoll, Sun and Associates LLC Marquis Aurbach Coffing Eighth District Court Clerk