


IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JAMAAL ZINNERMAN-LITTLE,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK,  
Respondent,  
and  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 76344

**FILED**

AUG 14 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING PETITION*


This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges the application of credit Jamaal Zimmerman-Little has earned pursuant to NRS 209.4465. This is a challenge to the computation of time Zimmerman-Little has served pursuant to his judgment of conviction.


We have considered the petition and, without deciding upon the merits of any claims raised, we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction. *See* NRS 34.160; NRS 34.170; NRAP 21(b)(1); *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (“Petitioner[ ] carr[ies] the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted.”). A postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed in the district court in the county in which the petitioner is incarcerated “[i]s the only remedy available to an incarcerated person to challenge the computation of time

that the person has served pursuant to a judgment of conviction." NRS 34.724(2)(c); *see* NRS 34.738(1). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Silver

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Tao

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Gibbons

cc: Jamaal Zinnerman-Little  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk