


IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MANUEL JOSE SERRANO-LERMA,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 73981

**FILED**

AUG 24 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE*

Manuel Jose Serrano-Lerma appeals from a judgment of conviction, entered pursuant to a jury verdict, of conspiracy to commit robbery, robbery with the use of a deadly weapon, first-degree kidnapping with the use of a deadly weapon, two counts of burglary while in possession of a firearm, battery with intent to commit a crime, attempted grand larceny auto, grand larceny, and carrying a concealed firearm or other deadly weapon. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Michael Villani, Judge.


Serrano-Lerma contends that the evidence presented at trial was insufficient to support the jury's finding of guilt of grand larceny. Specifically, he claims the State failed to prove the value of the stolen items exceeded \$650 with competent evidence. We disagree.


"[T]he State must prove by evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the value of the property, by any reasonable standard, exceeds the statutory threshold amount." *Stephans v. State*, 127 Nev. 712, 716, 262 P.3d 727, 730 (2011) (internal quotation marks and brackets omitted). When reviewing a challenge to the sufficiency of the evidence, we review the evidence in the light most favorable to the prosecution and determine whether "*any* rational trier of fact could have found the essential elements


of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt.” *Jackson v. Virginia*, 443 U.S. 307, 319 (1979); *Mitchell v. State*, 124 Nev. 807, 816, 192 P.3d 721, 727 (2008).

Here the property owners testified Serrano-Lerma took numerous items from the vehicle including \$160 in cash, a guitar, a guitar case, a Garmin GPS, an iPhone 5s, a Nintendo 3DS, video games, golf clubs, and a golf bag. One of the victims testified the estimated value of the stolen items was \$3,000-4,000. They also provided a receipt for the iPhone for \$600. We conclude that a rational juror could infer from this testimony and evidence that Serrano-Lerma stole property worth more than \$650. See NRS 205.222(3); *Dugan v. Gotsopoloulos*, 117 Nev. 285, 288, 22 P.3d 205, 207 (2001) (jury may consider property owner’s testimony regarding the value of his property when the value is relevant to the case). It is for the jury to determine the weight and credibility to give conflicting testimony, and the jury’s verdict will not be disturbed on appeal where, as here, substantial evidence supports the verdict. See *Bolden v. State*, 97 Nev. 71, 73, 624 P.2d 20, 20 (1981); see also *McNair v. State*, 108 Nev. 53, 56, 825 P.2d 571, 573 (1992). Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Silver

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Tao

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Michael Villani, District Judge  
Law Offices of Carl E.G. Arnold  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk