

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MARVIN MORAN,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK,

Respondent,

and

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 76685

FILED

SEP 14 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DENYING PETITION


This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges Marvin Moran's judgment of conviction. We have considered the petition, and without deciding upon the merits of any claims raised, we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction in this matter because Moran has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy for challenging the validity of his judgment of conviction. *See* NRS 34.160; NRS 34.170; NRAP 21(b)(1); *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) ("Petitioner[] carr[ies] the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted."). Specifically, a claim challenging the validity of the judgment of conviction must be raised on direct appeal or in a postconviction

petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed in the district court in compliance with the provisions of NRS chapter 34.¹ Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

_____, C.J.
Silver

_____, J.
Tao

_____, J.
Gibbons

cc: Marvin Moran
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹We express no opinion as to whether Moran could meet the procedural requirements for filing a direct appeal, *see* NRAP 4(b), or for filing a postconviction petition, *see* NRS chapter 34.